

REMARKS

Claims 1-8 and 10-26 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1, 6, 7, and 13-16 are amended and claim 9 is cancelled without prejudice or disclaimer.

Reconsideration in view of the above amendments and the following remarks is respectfully requested.

The Office Action rejects, under 35 U.S.C. § 102, claims 1-6, 8-14, and 17-26 over Bianconi et al. (U.S. Patent Pub. No. 2004/0127238). The Office Action also rejects, under 35 U.S.C. § 103, claims 7 and 16 over Bianconi et al. and Poor et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,256,399) and claim 15 over Bianconi et al. and Rasansky et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,960,406). These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Applicants assert that Bianconi et al. does not disclose or suggest allowing access to a personal wireless communication device, as recited in independent claim 1 and similarly recited in independent claims 13 and 25.

“A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference” (MPEP §2131, citing *Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987)).

Bianconi et al. discloses a method and apparatus in a Universal Mobile Telecommunications System (UMTS) network for delivering short message service (SMS) messages (paragraph 0002). A SGSN 320 and an HLR 328 perform known authentication (security signaling in flow 332) to establish that mobile node 304 is permitted to access the network (paragraph 0029). Such a procedure allows a mobile node to access a network. Such a procedure does not teach allowing access to a personal wireless communication device.

Thus, Bianconi et al. does not disclose or suggest allowing access to a personal wireless communication device, as recited in independent claim 1 and similarly recited in independent claims 13 and 25.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that independent claims 1, 13, and 25 define patentable subject matter. The remaining claims depend from the independent claims and therefore also define patentable subject matter. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and 35 U.S.C. § 103.

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully submit this application is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance of claims 1-8 and 10-26 are earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place this application in better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned representative at the telephone number listed below.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to deduct any fees arising as a result of this Amendment or any other communication from or to credit any overpayments to Deposit Account No. 50-2117.

Respectfully submitted,

Matthew C. Loppnow
Attorney for Applicant
Registration No. 45,314

Dated: June 3, 2007

Phone No. (847) 523-2585
Fax No. (847) 523-2350

Please send correspondence to:
Motorola, Inc.
Intellectual Property
600 North U.S. Highway 45
Libertyville, IL 60048